IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

CIVIL SECOND APPEAL No. 189 of 2002

SHAKTA RAM
V/S
STATE

Mr. RK BHATIA, for the appellant / petitioner

Mr. HR SONI, AGA, for the respondent

Date of Order : 24.7.2006

HON'BLE SHRI N P GUPTA,J.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

Learned counsel argued the sustainability of the transfer order inter-alia on the ground of attending circumstances, like his having been transferred to Kishangarh, and then having been transferred to Jaipur, both times from Jodhpur itself, and then it was contended that the whole story of appellant having been relieved on transfer to Kishangarh is unreliable, he was never relieved. Then the considerations said to have been taken into account in transfer, about his conduct, have also been assailed on the ground that his conduct was found faultering in the year 1995, while the transfer order is of 1984.

In my view, all this is not relevant, for the simple reason, that vide order dated 6.9.2002, the appellant was called upon to satisfy on three questions

framed in that order, and no submission was made on any of these questions by the learned counsel.

In my view, on the grounds mentioned in the order dated 6.9.2002, in absence of learned counsel satisfying the Court about those grounds being not sustainable, it cannot be said that appeal involves any substantial questions of law. The same is, therefore, dismissed summarily.

( N P GUPTA ),J.

/tarun/